

THE UNIVERSITY of York



Post (and Pre) Award Cornucopia aka THE BIG VOTE!!

David Lauder, EU Project Manager, University of York EARMA ANNUAL Conference 2018



What are the greatest improvements in H2020?

- Assessment Criteria
- Audit requirements
- Clarity of topic descriptions (in Work Programmes and Portal)
- Communication with the EC (e.g. with EC Project Officer)
- EU Participant Portal
- Feedback on unsuccessful proposals
- Financial reporting
- Negotiation (time to contract)
- Simplification of Programmes (e.g. Descriptions, clarity of objectives)



Which are the most important issues for FP9? Choose Up to 5

- Assessment criteria (Clarity)
- Budget distribution between different areas (e.g. thematic funding and Marie Curie / ERC/ Missions)
- Clarity of Topic Descriptions and Documentation
- Demonstrating Benefits for Society (for 'European citizens')
- Demonstrating 'value added' at European level (only funding areas best done at European level)
- European Innovation Council
- Innovation and improved industrial competitive (better exploitation of research)
- Links with Third Countries (and global funding, or 'open to the world')
- Missions (or need to address global challenges)
- Public engagement
- Success Rates
- Support for research excellence via ERC and Marie Sklodowska-Curie Actions
- Support for Social Sciences and Humanities
- Widening Participation



Pre-Award



Which are the most important issues for FP9? Choose Up to 4

- Audit Requirements
- Consortium Agreements (improvements to model CA, simplifications)
- EU Participant Portal
- Feedback on unsuccessful proposals
- Financial Reporting
- Flat Rates
- Funding Schemes (e.g. simplification rationalisation of different schemes such as Joint Technology Initiatives / EIT / Joint Programming)
- Open access
- Project Management (Improvements, clarity on requirements)
- Total Budget (overall FP budget)
- Total Budget (per project)





What other questions about future EU funding should we (or EARMA) consider?





