Post (and Pre) Award Cornucopia aka THE BIG VOTE!!

David Lauder, EU Project Manager, University of York
EARMA ANNUAL Conference 2018
What are the greatest improvements in H2020?

- Assessment Criteria
- Audit requirements
- Clarity of topic descriptions (in Work Programmes and Portal)
- Communication with the EC (e.g. with EC Project Officer)
- EU Participant Portal
- Feedback on unsuccessful proposals
- Financial reporting
- Negotiation (time to contract)
- Simplification of Programmes (e.g. Descriptions, clarity of objectives)
Which are the most important issues for FP9? Choose Up to 5

- Assessment criteria (Clarity)
- Budget distribution between different areas (e.g. thematic funding and Marie Curie / ERC/ Missions)
- Clarity of Topic Descriptions and Documentation
- Demonstrating Benefits for Society (for ‘European citizens’)
- Demonstrating ‘value added’ at European level (only funding areas best done at European level)
- European Innovation Council
- Innovation and improved industrial competitive (better exploitation of research)
- Links with Third Countries (and global funding, or ‘open to the world’)
- Missions (or need to address global challenges)
- Public engagement
- Success Rates
- Support for research excellence via ERC and Marie Sklodowska-Curie Actions
- Support for Social Sciences and Humanities
- Widening Participation
Which are the most important issues for FP9?

Choose Up to 4

- Audit Requirements
- Consortium Agreements (improvements to model CA, simplifications)
- EU Participant Portal
- Feedback on unsuccessful proposals
- Financial Reporting
- Flat Rates
- Funding Schemes (e.g. simplification rationalisation of different schemes such as Joint Technology Initiatives / EIT / Joint Programming)
- Open access
- Project Management (Improvements, clarity on requirements)
- Total Budget (overall FP budget)
- Total Budget (per project)
What other questions about future EU funding should we (or EARMA) consider?