EARMA Conference

Keynote Panel Session

Negotiating Research: What is the Best Deal for Europe?

Chair: Dr. Seán McCarthy, Hyperion Ltd.

Panel:
Christina Miller, UKRO Director
Peter Fisch, Independent Expert (formerly EC)
Thomas Estermann (Director EUA)
Dr. Nadine Castillo Malta Council for Science and Technology
Timeline towards Framework 9

2017                      2018                      2019                      2020                      2021                      FP9...

Horizon 2020

EARMA Conference
Mid-term Review of Horizon 2020
Consultation with the Stakeholders
Commission Sends Proposal for FP9 to European Parliament + Council (27 Member States)
Adoption of FP9 by European Parliament + Council (27 Member States)
Timeline towards Framework 9

Earma Conference
Mid-term Review
FP9 Proposal
FP9 Adopted
Horizon 2020
Effectiveness of Influencing FP9 (Lobby)
Negotiating Framework 9: Issues?

Size of the Budget
Distribution of Funding (ERC vs. Thematic Research)
Top Down vs. Bottom Up funding
Priorities: Social Sciences and Humanities vs. Industrial Research
Synergies between different European programmes
Synergies with National Programmes
Widening Participation in Framework 9
More?
Panel Discussion

Question 1

What is the most efficient way to distribute funding for research at European level?
Panel Discussion
Question 1

What is the most efficient way to distribute funding for research at European level?

Supplementary Questions for Audience:
What should be the balance between “Top Down” and “Bottom Up” funding?
What should be the balance between ERC funding (and “Research Excellence”) and Thematic Funding?
What should be the balance between funding for SSH and science?
How will widening participation affect funding distribution?
What about the synergy between research programmes and other European programmes, notably ERDF (but also ERASMUS)
What should be the balance between European and national funding?
How should small countries ensure that their needs are met (Malta, Baltic countries, etc.)?
Panel Discussion

Question 2

What issues could be the most detrimental for achieving the ‘Best Deal for European Research’?
Panel Discussion

Question 2

What issues could be the most detrimental for achieving the ‘Best Deal for European Research’?

*Supplementary Questions for Audience:*

Is simplification still an issue?

Do success rates still present a major issue - and what role do research managers have in improving the quality of proposals?

Are audits still too onerous (see also voting question on output based monitoring)

What if we get too many high quality proposals?

What are the key trends for FP9?

Is radical change needed, or is continuity more important? (Also vote on this)
Panel Discussion

Question 3

What is the Role of EARMA Members: Influencing or Monitoring?
Panel Discussion

Question 3

What is the Role of EARMA Members: Influencing or Monitoring?

Supplementary Questions for Audience:
How can research managers influence FP9? How to overcome the barriers?
Is there sufficient transparency in the development of funding opportunities (particularly thematic areas)?
What about the role of small countries?
How do research managers engage with ERDF and research funding and how important is it for these programmes to be well integrated? (or 'synergistic')
What is the role for EARMA in lobbying?