This conference would not have been possible without the generous support of our sponsors which has made the 22nd EARMA Annual Conference, June 2016 an event we are proud to host here in Luleå.







This conference would not have been possible without the generous support of our sponsors which has made the 22nd EARMA Annual Conference, June 2016 an event we are proud to host here in Luleå.





This conference would not have been possible without the generous support of our sponsors which has made the 22nd EARMA Annual Conference, June 2016 an event we are proud to host here in Luleå.



LULEÅ KOMMUN

UNIVER





New Horizons Research Management



This conference would not have been possible without the generous support of our sponsors which has made the 22nd EARMA Annual Conference, June 2016 an event we are proud to host here in Luleå.







This conference would not have been possible without the generous support of our sponsors which has made the 22nd EARMA Annual Conference, June 2016 an event we are proud to host here in Luleå.





This conference would not have been possible without the generous support of our sponsors which has made the 22nd EARMA Annual Conference, June 2016 an event we are proud to host here in Luleå.



LULEÅ KOMMUN

UNIVER





AIM to impact





AIM to Impact

Applying Impact Models in research management: Coventry University reflections on an integrated lifecycle approach

Julie Bayley

Fiona Secondino

(Former)Impact Officer, Senior Head of Researcher in Health Psychology

j.bayley@coventry.ac.uk

Twitter: @JulieEBayley

Head of Research Delivery Support Unit

f.secondino@coventry.ac.uk

Twitter: @fiona_secondino

Dace Rozenberga

Research Impact Partner

dace.rozenberga@coventry.ac.uk

Twitter: @dace_rozenberga



Session

- About Coventry University
- UK context
- Intervention approaches
- Benefit to research management
- Integrating impact into project lifecycle
- Overall reflections from Coventry experience



University of the Year

Times Higher Education Awards 2015

Modern University of the Year

The Times and Sunday Times Good University Guide 2016

Ranked No.15 UK University

Guardian University Guide 2016

Top University for Student Satisfaction

The Times and Sunday Times Good University Guide 2016

95% of graduates are employed or in further study

Ranked No.15

UK University

Guardian University

Guide 2016

Modern University

of the Year

The Times and Sunday Times Good University Guide 2016

DLHE survey 2013/14

The Queen's Awards for Enterprise:

for Student Satisfaction

Top University

University Guide 2016

The Times and Sunday Times Good

International Trade 2015

The Queen's Awards

International Trade 2015

for Enterprise:



WINNER

UNIVERSITY OF THE YEAR





UK context

- Research funding and accountability
- Quality and reach of research
- Research Excellence Framework 2014 & 2021(?)
- Research Councils UK
- Horizon 2020



[REF] definition of impact

'For the purposes of the REF, impact is defined as an effect on, change or benefit to the economy, society, culture, public policy or services, health, the environment or quality of life, beyond academia'



[REF] definition of impact

'For the purposes of the REF, impact is defined as an **effect on, change or benefit to** the economy, society, culture, public policy or services, health, the environment or quality of life, **beyond** academia'

Ie: A provable change in the real world, as a result of our research



REF2014 case study analysis



• 6975 impact case studies

- 149 fields of research
- 60 impact topics
- 3709 unique pathways to impact
- http://impact.ref.ac.uk

http://www.hefce.ac.uk/pubs/rereports/Year/2015 /analysisREFimpact/



Interventions and impact



Impact planning

- Often poorly mapped:
 - Mismatched outputs / activities / audience / impacts
 - Limited linearity
- 'Default' activities (workshops, seminars)
- Assume activities lead to impact
- Assume exposure leads to impact
- Focus on broad not achievable impacts
- Overlook the realities of implementation







Benefits of intervention logic

- Intervention = an activity designed to create change
- Vast body of evidence/guidance on intervention design (e.g. Intervention Mapping (Bartholomew et al, 2006))
- Key principles to make a change:
 - Incorporate user views, expert opinion, theory and evidence
 - Determine actual needs
 - Determine what changes are needed, what *can* be changed and what would be acceptable
 - Select appropriate techniques
 - Create a coordinated strategy
 - Implement and evaluate







Impact in research management

- Can be difficult to support impact:
 - Not impact expert
 - 'Academic as expert'
 - Time available



Using intervention logic

- Impact plans are mapped (matched)
 - Outputs / activities / audience / impacts
 - Clear, defined paths
- Articulates how activities lead to impact
- Focus on achievable impacts within broader impact goals
- Accommodate and acknowledge realities of implementation



Benefit to research managers

- Drawing on intervention methods arms research managers to:
 - Support realistic impact planning
 - Create and follow feasible project management plans
 - Support, question and challenge academic plans
 - Offer informed, objective review
 - Be a 'critical friend'



Integrating into the project lifecycle



The reality of impact planning

- Often academics need support to strengthen and deliver their impact plans
- May have only basic ideas
- Research managers can apply logical approach, even with limited information



Impact integration in the Project Lifecycle





Integrating Impact

- It is not necessary to be an impact expert to support impact
- Crucial element is supporting:
 - Staff training and awareness building
 - Building open dialogue with staff
 - Clarifying responsibilities
 - Team effort



Reflections

- Integrate impact into academic and research management roles
- Have a 'specialist', but don't restrict support to a single role
- Bridge academic- research support divide
- Assessment is driver but quality is the aim
- Build a positive culture towards impact



Any questions?



Exercise

- 1) How do you build stronger partnerships between academics and research management to deliver impact?
- 2) Based on what you currently do, how do you strengthen the process of impact planning?
- 3) How can you better integrate impact to the delivery of your project?
- 4) How can you strengthen the ongoing support and follow up after a project has ended?